



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_5662
Title of the Manuscript:	BIOGAS PRODUCTION: AN ALTERNATIVE PANACEA FOR ENERGY CRISIS IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I believe it is an excellent manuscript. It aimed at evaluating the biogas production capacity of water hyacinth, poultry droppings, cow dung and their combination. It is suitable for publication in this journal.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	"Biogas research and subsequent production is a sine-quo-non to....." is not easy to understand, might try another description.	Corrected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		